

Cumulative Table of Cases
Connecticut Appellate Reports
Volume 197

(Replaces Prior Cumulative Table)

Gawlik v. Semple	83
<i>Religious discrimination; claim that defendants, current and former employees of Department of Correction, withheld religious literature and cards from plaintiff in violation of state and federal constitutional and statutory rights governing religious freedom; claim that applicable department administrative directives were not promulgated in accordance with Uniform Administrative Procedure Act (§ 4-166 et seq.); adoption of trial court's memorandum of decision as proper statement of facts and applicable law on issues.</i>	
Harris v. Neale	147
<i>Negligence; motion to open judgment; claim that trial court abused its discretion in denying motion to open; whether minor plaintiff satisfied burden of demonstrating that he was prevented by reasonable cause from prosecuting action.</i>	
JPMorgan Chase Bank, National Assn. v. Syed	129
<i>Foreclosure; motion for summary judgment; judgment of strict foreclosure; claim that trial court improperly granted summary judgment as to liability; claim that there were genuine issues of material fact concerning whether plaintiff bank was holder of note at time it commenced action due to invalid endorsement of note; claim that trial court improperly rejected defendant's first and third special defenses as to damages when granting summary judgment; claim that trial court improperly struck defendant's count of amended counterclaim seeking attorney's fees pursuant to statute (§ 42-150bb) when granting summary judgment as to liability.</i>	
Longbottom v. Longbottom.	64
<i>Dissolution of marriage; motion to modify educational support; motion to open judgment; claim that trial court failed to determine whether plaintiff had established probable cause of fraud by nondisclosure; claim that trial court abused its discretion in denying plaintiff's motions to open and to modify; claim that trial court failed to properly understand defendant's financial information.</i>	
Manson v. Conklin.	51
<i>Negligence; claim that trial court improperly precluded admission of findings and conclusions in police department's internal affairs reports that defendant police officer had engaged in misconduct and was dishonest; whether findings and conclusions in reports constituted extrinsic evidence and, therefore, were inadmissible pursuant to Weaver v. McKnight, (313 Conn. 393); claim that trial court improperly submitted issue of governmental immunity to jury.</i>	
Petrucelli v. Meriden	1
<i>Zoning; municipal blight citation; anti-blight ordinance; claim that trial court abused its discretion in precluding testimony of witnesses; claim that trial court erred in concluding that respondent city did not violate petitioner's due process rights; claim that trial court erred in concluding that the anti-blight ordinance was not unconstitutionally vague; claim that trial court erred in concluding that there was sufficient evidence demonstrating noncompliance with anti-blight ordinance.</i>	
Purtill v. Cook	22
<i>Summary process; motion to open judgment of default; stay of execution; automatic stay; mootness; standing; claim that trial court improperly denied defendant's motion to open judgment; claim that trial court improperly dismissed claim of exemption from eviction.</i>	
State v. Fredrik H.	213
<i>Unlawful restraint in first degree; interfering with emergency call; criminal mischief in third degree; whether evidence was sufficient to support conviction of unlawful restraint in first degree; whether jury reasonably could have inferred that defendant intended to substantially interfere with victim's liberty; whether trial court abused its discretion in admitting evidence of uncharged misconduct.</i>	

State v. Holley	161
<i>Motion to correct illegal sentence; criminal possession of firearm; statutory interpretation; rule of lenity; claim that trial court improperly denied motion to correct illegal sentence; whether trial court properly concluded that defendant's consecutive sentences did not violate constitutional prohibition against double jeopardy; whether trial court properly construed relevant statute ((Rev. to 2013) § 53a-217 (a) (1)) as criminalizing possession of single firearm; whether statute was ambiguous; claim that trial court improperly failed to apply rule of lenity.</i>	
State v. Holmgren	203
<i>Home invasion; burglary in first degree; sexual assault in third degree; claim that there was insufficient evidence to sustain defendant's conviction of home invasion and burglary in first degree; whether state failed to prove that defendant entered dwelling while victim was present in that dwelling as required by home invasion statute (§ 53a-100aa (a) (1)); whether state failed to prove beyond reasonable doubt that defendant entered victim's apartment with intent to commit crime; whether jury reasonably could have inferred from certain evidence defendant's intent to sexually assault victim; claim that trial court improperly allowed state to introduce testimony of police detective regarding statements made by defendant; whether probative value of evidence of bag in defendant's possession outweighed any prejudice caused to defendant by its admission.</i>	
State v. Nusser	76
<i>Larceny in first degree; burglary in third degree; criminal violation of restraining order; subject matter jurisdiction; motion for presentence confinement credit; claim that trial court abused its discretion in denying defendant's motion for presentence confinement credit; claim that defendant's sentence was illegal because it breached plea agreement with state; claim that failure of Department of Correction to implement trial court's revised mittimus resulted in structural error and fundamental unfairness in sentencing process; whether trial court lacked subject matter jurisdiction to hear defendant's motion for presentence confinement credit.</i>	
Stephenson v. Commissioner of Correction	172
<i>Habeas corpus; larceny in fifth degree; larceny in sixth degree; ineffective assistance of trial counsel; whether habeas court properly dismissed petitioner's amended habeas petition as moot; whether prejudicial collateral consequences exist; whether petitioner's claim that his right to effective assistance of counsel was violated was reviewable.</i>	
U.S. Bank, National Assn. v. Mamudi	31
<i>Foreclosure; claim that law days were automatically vacated as result of petition for bankruptcy; claim that foreclosure defendants were deprived of right to appeal concerning law days; whether trial court should have rendered judgment dismissing rather than denying motion to reargue.</i>	